Towards comparing transformation systems and formalisms

Torbjörn Ekman Görel Hedin

Department of Computer Science

Lund University, Sweden



Our background: ReRAGs Rewritable Reference Attributed Grammars

- Mechanisms
 - Equations that define attribute values, reference attributes, conditional rewrites that may use attributes, object-oriented, aspect-oriented
- Implementation
 - demand-driven evaluation engine that can interleave attribute evaluation with rewrites
- Advantages
 - Allows highly context-dependent stepwise transformation, while being completely declarative

Large benchmark: Java 1.4 compiler

- Completeness: Runs 3932 of the 4006 semantic analysis Jacks testcases
- Size: comparable to JLS and javac
- Performance: around 4 times slower than javac



STS 2004

2004-10-24

We need small benchmarks that many tool builders can implement

- How does my system compare to others?
- Users can select system for given problem
- Learn by comparing solutions



What benchmarks?

- Translation
 - Compilation, optimization, refactoring, preprocessing, aspect weaving, …
- Analysis
 - Metrics, name analysis, type checking, ...
- Size?
 - Small languages (Tiger, ...), micro benchmarks
 for illustrating specific things, ...

What to compare?

- Specification size (lines, tokens, gzipped number of bytes, ...)
- Transformation performance
- Generation performance
- Modularity, extensibility
- Character of specification (pass-oriented, imperative, declarative, ...)



How define a benchmark?

- Suite of input-output test cases
- Formats: Text, XML, ...



Collective effort

- Open process over several years
- What do we have already?
- Network for contributing test suites, ideas, and implementations
- Evolve over time



LDTA 2005 Language Descriptions, Tools and Applications

- Satellite event of ETAPS 2005
 April 3, 2005
 Edinburgh, Scotland, UK
- Submission deadline: December 1, 2004
- www-i2.informatik.rwth-aachen.de/Events/LDTA2005/



STS 2004

2004-10-24